United States Patent and Trademark Office UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov OCT 1 6 2007 FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/810,545 03/29/2004 8523 Gabriel Ohiochioya Obadan 7590 10/03/2007 **EXAMINER** GABRIEL OHICHIOYA OBADAN **677 DEL MONTE STREET** MEINECKE DIAZ, SUSANNA M PASADENA, CA 91103 **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 3694

Please find below and/or-attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

MAIL DATE

10/03/2007

DELIVERY MODE

PAPER

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/810,545	OBADAN, GABRIEL OHIOCHIOYA			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Susanna M. Diaz	3694			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	L. nely filed the mailing date of this communication.			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Se	eptember 2004.				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 15-17 is/are pending in the application	٦.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-17</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 3694

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 15-17 are presented for examination.

Claim Objections

2. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claims 1-3 (the set of claims submitted on September 17, 2004) have been renumbered as claims 15-17, respectively.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 15-17 are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice.

Method claim 15 recites a preamble with no claim body. Method claims are defined by positively recited, functional steps. The recitation that the method "allows

users to electronically withdraw money...and have the equivalent amount withdrawn sent to their E-mail addresses and downloaded and printed...and use the currencies to purchase goods and services" does not clarify whether such functionality is positively required by the scope of the invention. The method should expressly recite how the users are allowed to electronically withdraw money. Also, the term "allow" only refers to a mere capability and does not actively require that allowed functionality be performed.

Claim 15 recites that a withdrawn amount of money is sent to the users' E-mail addresses and downloaded and printed. How can money be e-mailed? Instead, is an electronic representation of the amount withdrawn sent to E-mail, downloaded, and printed? Currently, this claim language is awkward.

System (i.e., apparatus) claim 16 recites a preamble with no claim body. An apparatus claim is defined by its structural elements and corresponding functionality. Without a clearly delineated claim body, it is difficult to ascertain which structural elements form the system. Without any structural elements integrated with the system as a whole, corresponding functionality would not serve to patentably define or distinguish a claimed system over the prior art.

Claim 16 defines the system as a system that "does not involve moving money from country to country but rather a separate individual account is maintained in each country of the world..." Negative limitations are proper as long as they imply positive metes and bounds. In the instant case, clearly defined metes and bounds cannot be interpreted from this negative limitation.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,545

Art Unit: 3694

Regarding claim 16, the phrase "for example" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim 16 recites that money is sent to an E-mail address, downloaded, and printed. How can money be e-mailed? Instead, is an electronic representation of the value of the money sent to E-mail, downloaded, and printed? Currently, this claim language is awkward.

Method claim 17 recites a preamble with no claim body. Method claims are defined by positively recited, functional steps. The recitation that the method "allows a user to electronically send money...through his or her E-mail address and download and print the currencies" does not clarify whether such functionality is positively required by the scope of the invention. The method should expressly recite how the users are allowed to electronically send money. Also, the term "allow" only refers to a mere capability and does not actively require that allowed functionality be performed.

Claim 17 recites that money is sent to an E-mail address, downloaded, and printed. How can money be e-mailed? Instead, is an electronic representation of the value of the money sent to E-mail, downloaded, and printed? Currently, this claim language is awkward.

In claims 15-17, the scope of "Bank Cash' currencies" is not clearly defined.

Does this refer to any object of value that can be traded for goods?

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,545 Page 5

Art Unit: 3694

5. Because claims 15-17 are so indefinite, no art rejection is warranted as substantial guesswork would be involved in determining the scope and content of these claims. See *In re Steele*, 305 F.2d 859, 134 USPQ 292 (CCPA 1962); *Ex parte Brummer*, 12 USPQ 2d, 1653, 1655 (BdPatApp&Int 1989); and also *In re Wilson*, 424 F.2d 1382, 165 USPQ 494 (CCPA 1970). Prior art pertinent to the disclosed invention is nevertheless cited and applicants are reminded they must consider all cited art under Rule 111(c) when amending the claims to conform with 35 U.S.C. 112.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Smith (U.S. Patent No. 4,812,986) – Discloses an apparatus for dispensing money orders.

Hammond (U.S. Patent No. 5,119,293) – Discloses a system and method that prints and dispenses negotiable instruments.

Zhao (U.S. Patent No. 6,487,301) – Discloses an invention that prints digital cash as digital paper cash.

Lee (US 2002/0032605 A1) – Discloses a system and method for providing a selectable gift certificate. In one embodiment, the electronic gift certificate is e-mailed to an intended recipient and the recipient can request a paper gift certificate of his/her choosing.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,545

Art Unit: 3694

Van Dusen (U.S. Patent No. 6,175,823) - Discloses an electronic gift certificate

system that e-mails a gift certificate to a recipient.

Rau et al. (US 2001/0007099 A1) - Discloses an award system that e-mails an

award code to an intended recipient.

Lee (U.S. Patent No. 6,748,367) – Discloses a system and method for

transmitting e-cash.

7. An examination of this application reveals that applicant is unfamiliar with patent

prosecution procedure. While an inventor may prosecute the application, lack of skill in

this field usually acts as a liability in affording the maximum protection for the invention

disclosed. Applicant is advised to secure the services of a registered patent attorney or

agent to prosecute the application, since the value of a patent is largely dependent upon

skilled preparation and prosecution. The Office cannot aid in selecting an attorney or

agent.

A listing of registered patent attorneys and agents is available on the USPTO

Internet web site http://www.uspto.gov in the Site Index under "Attorney and Agent

Roster." Applicants may also obtain a list of registered patent attorneys and agents

located in their area by writing to the Mail Stop OED, Director of the U.S. Patent and

Trademark Office, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/810,545

Art Unit: 3694

Page 7

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susanna M. Diaz whose telephone number is (571) 272-6733. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on (571) 272-6712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Susanna M. Diaz Primary Examiner Art Unit 3694

September 28, 2007

Notice of References Cited

Application/Co	ntrol No.	o. Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination OBADAN, GABRIEL OHIOCHIO	
Examiner		Art Unit	
Susanna M. D.	iaz	3694	Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
*	Α	US-4,812,986	03-1989	Smith, Lawrence G.	700/235
*	В	US-5,119,293	06-1992	Hammond, Richard W.	705/24
*	O	US-6,487,301	11-2002	Zhao, Jian	382/100
*	۵	US-2002/0032605	03-2002	Lee, Jonas	705/14
*	Ε	US-6,175,823	01-2001	Van Dusen, Karen L.	705/26
*	F	US-2001/0007099	07-2001	Rau et al.	705/26
*	G	US-6,748,367	06-2004	Lee, Joonho John	705/66
	Ξ	US-			
	1	US-			
	7	US-			
	K	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			,

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р					
	Q	•				
	R					
	s	•				
	Т					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	υ	
	٧	
٠	w	
	x	

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

OFFICIAL BUSINESS PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE. \$300

Organization FC 3600 Bldg/Reom United States Patent and Trademark Office Alexandria. VA 22313-1450 If Undeliverable Return in Ten Days

P.O. Box 1450







